

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 16 - 466
Plaintiff, v. Waldo Hernandzz Rodriguez Defendant.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIANGLACT ORDER OF THE SPEEDY TRIANGLACT
served by the continuance outweigh the best interest	n Dec. λ^2 , 2016, the Court excludes time under the ray λ^2 , 2017 and finds that the ends of justice of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 ng and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of resecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ct adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Statement and the statement of the state	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 12-22-16	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
Attorney for Defendant	A ASSISTANT OTHER STATES ATTOMICY